

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/769,688	01/25/2001	Takashi Mochizuki	P/647-136	5364	
32172	7590 01/24/2005	EXAMINER			
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE)			KUMAR, PANKAJ		
41 ST FL.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK	, NY 10036-2714		2631		
				DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	q	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
Office Action Summary		09/769,688	88 MOCHIZUKI, TAKASHI	
		Examiner	Art Unit	_
		Pankaj Kumar	2631	
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cov r sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 12 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ste, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	<u>August 2004</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.	•	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-10</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>3,4</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the subject to restriction a	awn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the second or declaration is objected to be the least of the second or declaration is objected to be the second or declaration or declaration is objected to be the second or declaration or declaration.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. Cepties of the certified copies of the priority documents. Cepties of the certified copies of the priority documents. Cepties of the certified copies of the priority documents. Cepties of the certified copies of the priority documents. Cepties of the certified copies of the priority documents. Cepties of the certified copies of the priority documents. Cepties of the certified copies of the priority documents. Cepties of the priority	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachmen	t(s)			
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/769,688

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 8/26/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. Applicant argues that Bradley in col. 1 lines 18 to 19 do not disclose attenuation since the duplexer is isolating the second direction and thus it is not attenuating. This is not persuasive since the ultimate form of attenuation is isolation in other words, isolation occurs when there is lots of attenuation.
- 3. Applicant argues that the control means for setting attenuation amounts in the filters is not disclosed in Bradley because the paragraph cited indicates that the filters are configured and does not indicate a control means. This is not persuasive since when the filters are configured, they are controlled. By the filters being configured to set the passbands and stopbands, the filters' attenuation amounts for various frequencies are being controlled.

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

- 5. Claims 1, 2, 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bradley USPN 6,262,637 in view of Katayama USPN 6,356,746.
- 6. As per claim 1, Bradley teaches a transmission apparatus comprising: filter means for reducing leakage power outside a transmission signal band (Bradley fig. 2: "transmit band"), said adjustable (not in Bradley but would be obvious as explained below) filter means having a first attenuation amount (Bradley fig. 2: 36) more than a predetermined amount (Bradley fig. 2: top line of "transmit band") or a second attenuation amount not more than the predetermined amount selectively set in a range higher than a transmission signal band, modulation means for modulating the transmission signal output from said filter means (Bradley col. 1 lines 18-19: "modulated transmit signal generated by the transmitter"; col. 4 lines 20-25); and control means for setting one of the first and second attenuation amounts in said adjustable filter means in accordance with a use situation of a band adjacent to the transmission signal band (Bradley col. 1 lines 55-60: "In the example shown, band-pass filters are configured such that the high-frequency stop band of the band-pass filter 30 overlaps the pass-band of the band-pass filter 32 and the low-frequency stop band of the band-pass filter 32 overlaps the pass-band of the band-pass filter 30.").
- 7. Bradley does not teach an adjustable filter. Katayama teaches adjustable filters (Katayama fig. 1: 9a, 10 being adjust by filter control signal 19).
- 8. Thus, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art, at time the invention was made, to arrive at the adjustable filter as recited by the instant claims, because the combined teaching of Bradley with Katayama suggest an adjustable filter as recited by the instant claims.

 Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art, would have been motivated to combine the

teachings of Bradley with Katayama because Bradley suggests configuring a filter (something broad) in general and Katayama suggests the beneficial use of adjusting or configuring the filter periodically (such as having a feedback system to have a better quality system) in the analogous art of filters.

Page 4

- 9. As per claim 2, Bradley teaches an apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said adjustable filter means comprises a first low-pass filter having the first attenuation amount (Bradley fig. 2: 36), and a second low-pass filter having the second attenuation amount (Bradley fig. 2: 38), and said control means selects one of said first and second low-pass filters in accordance with the use situation of the band adjacent to the transmission signal band. This is not in Bradley. Katayama 6356746 teaches this with figure 9: 18, 19, 42, 70, 74, 76, 78, 75, 77, 79, fig. 10: 18, 19, 42, 85, 87, 89 and also col. 5 lines 45-55 paragraph 29: "an electric field strength holding means for holding signal levels detected by the electric field strength detecting means under a condition that the I low-pass filter and the Q low-pass filter are set to have at least two different cut-off frequencies; an adjacent wave detecting means for detecting a signal level of the adjacent wave based on an output of the electric field strength holding means; and a baseband filter controlling means for variably controlling cut-off frequencies of the I low-pass filter and the Q low-pass filter according to an output signal of the adjacent wave detecting means." It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Bradley with Katayama. One would have been motivated to do so since Katayama teaches to reduce the influence of the adjacent waves in col. 6 lines 59-60.
- As per claim 5, Bradley in view of Katayama teaches an apparatus according to claim 2, 10. wherein said apparatus further comprises switch means for selecting one of said first and second

low-pass filters (Katayama fig. 9: 74, 76, 78, 75, 77, 79, 42; fig. 10: 85, 87, 89, 42), and said control means controls said switch means to extract one of outputs from said first and second low-pass filters as a transmission signal (Katayama fig. 9: 18, 19, output of 73; fig. 10: 18, 19, output of 83).

Page 5

- 11. As per claim 6, Bradley in view of Katayama teaches an apparatus according to claim 2. wherein when said first low-pass filter is selected, power supply to said second low-pass filter is stopped, and when said second low-pass filter is selected, power supply to said first low-pass filter is stopped. This is not in Bradley or Katayana. It is common knowledge to rearrange parts of an invention and in this, to rearrange from parallel filters to series filters. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Katayama's fig. 9 such that the filters with their switches are rearranged to be in series in order to supply power to the selected filters and not to the nonselected filters. One would have been motivated to do so in order for efficiency to conserve power.
- As per claim 7, Bradley in view of Katayama teaches an apparatus according to claim 1, 12. wherein said filter means, modulation means, and control means are arranged in one of a mobile station and a base station of a mobile communication system (Bradley col. 1 line 14: "cellular or cordless telephone"; col. 6 lines 8-9: " ... PCS device, cellular telephone or other transmit/receive apparatus ...").
- As per claim 8, Bradley teaches an apparatus according to claim 7. Bradley does not 13. teach the remainder of claim 8. Katayama teaches the remainder of claim 8 wherein said

Application/Control Number: 09/769,688

Art Unit: 2631

apparatus further comprises extraction means for extracting information related to the use situation of the band adjacent to the transmission signal band from a reception signal (Katayama col. 3 lines 25-26: "in response to a signal level of the adjacent wave"), and said control means performs operation of setting the first and second attenuation amounts on the basis of an output from said extraction means (Katayama col. 5 lines 44-55: "an electric field strength holding means for holding signal levels detected by the electric field strength detecting means under a condition that the I low-pass filter and the Q low-pass filter are set to have at least two different cut-off frequencies; an adjacent wave detecting means for detecting a signal level of the adjacent wave based on an output of the electric field strength holding means; and a baseband filter controlling means for variably controlling cut-off frequencies of the I low-pass filter and the Q low-pass filter according to an output signal of the adjacent wave detecting means."). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Bradley with Katayama. One would have been motivated to do so since Katayama teaches to reduce the influence of the adjacent waves in col. 6 lines 59-60.

14. As per claim 9, Bradley teaches an apparatus according to claim 7. Bradley does not teach the remainder of claim 9. Katayama teaches the remainder of claim 9 wherein said apparatus further comprises monitor means for monitoring the use situation of the band adjacent to the transmission signal band from a reception signal, and said control means performs operation of setting the first and second attenuation amounts on the basis of an output from said monitor means (Katayama col. 5 lines 44-55: "an electric field strength holding means for holding signal levels detected by the electric field strength detecting means under a condition that the I low-pass filter and the Q low-pass filter are set to have at least two different cut-off

frequencies; an adjacent wave detecting means for detecting a signal level of the adjacent wave based on an output of the electric field strength holding means; and a baseband filter controlling means for variably controlling cut-off frequencies of the I low-pass filter and the Q low-pass filter according to an output signal of the adjacent wave detecting means."). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Bradley with Katayama. One would have been motivated to do so since Katayama teaches to reduce the influence of the adjacent waves in col. 6 lines 59-60.

As per claim 10, Bradley teaches an apparatus according to claim 7, wherein when the 15. band adjacent to the transmission signal band is used in an adjacent/superposing system, said control means sets the first attenuation amount in said filter means (Bradley paragraph 9: "The bandwidth of the portions of the spectrum assigned to the transmit signal and the receive signal are about 3% of the carrier frequency, i.e., 60 MHz. This means that the band-pass filters 30 and 32 are required to have an extremely sharp roll-off."), and when the band adjacent to the transmission signal band is not used in the adjacent/superposing system, said control means sets the second attenuation amount in said filter means (This is not in Bradley. Katayana teaches this with the following: paragraph 26: "In practice, in the event that the adjacent wave has been detected in the setting based on the above-mentioned advanced radio paging system standard (RCR STD-43), if the low-frequency cut-off frequencies of the first I low-pass filter 9a and the first Q low-pass filter 10a are narrowed from 10 KHz to 8 KHz, the influence of the adjacent wave can be reduced ... In the event that the adjacent wave has not been detected, if the lowfrequency cut-off frequencies of the first I low-pass filter 9a and the first Q low-pass filter 10a are widened from 8 KHz to 10 KHz"; paragraph 47 "In contrast, if it has been decided that the

adjacent waves are not contained, the baseband filter controlling means 18 outputs the filter controlling signal 19 based on the output signal from the adjacent wave detecting means 17 to raise the cut-off-frequencies of the first I low-pass filter 9a and the first Q low-pass filter 10a" It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify to modify Bradley with Katayama. One would have been motivated to do so in order to achieve the frequency offset tolerance advantage pointed out in Katayama in paragraph 47: "if it has been decided that the adjacent waves are not contained, the baseband filter controlling means 18 outputs the filter controlling signal 19 based on the output signal from the adjacent wave detecting means 17 to raise the cut-off frequencies of the first I low-pass filter 9a and the first Q low-pass filter 10a, whereby tolerance for the frequency offset of the oscillation frequency of the first local oscillator 4 from the carrier frequency of the modulated signal 3 to be received can be improved.").

Allowable Subject Matter

16. Claims 3, 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pankaj Kumar whose telephone number is (571) 272-3011. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Thurs and Fri after 8AM to after 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad H. Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER